District Status Detail Page 1 of 4

User: Collin Clark User Role: District

YEAR



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2004-2005 DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

| Nar | ne: CELESTE ISD(116902) | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----|--|
| Rating: Superior Achievement | | Last Updated: 8/14/2006 5:04:25 PM | | | |
| Ind | icators Answered YES: 21 | Indicators Answered | NO: 0 | | |
| # | Indicator Description | Updated | Result | | |
| 1 | Was The Total Fund Balance Less Reserved Fund Balance Greater Than Zero In The General Fund? | | 6/24/2006 6:05:08 PM | Yes | |
| 2 | Were There No Disclosures In The And/Or Other Sources Of Informatio On Bonded Indebtedness Obligations | 6/24/2006 6:05:08 PM | Yes | | |
| 3 | Was The Annual Financial Report Filed Within One Month After November 27th or January 28th Deadline Depending Upon The District's Fiscal Year End Date (June 30th or August 31st)? | | 6/24/2006 6:05:08 PM | Yes | |
| 4 | Was There An Unqualified Opinion in Report? | 6/24/2006 6:05:09 PM | Yes | | |
| 5 | Did The Annual Financial Report Not Disclose Any Instance (s) Of Material Weaknesses In Internal Controls? | | 6/24/2006 6:05:09 PM | Yes | |
| 6 | Was The Percent Of Total Tax Collect Delinquent) Greater Than 96%? | 6/24/2006 6:05:09 PM | Yes | | |
| 7 | Did The Comparisons Of PEIMS Data In Annual Financial Report Result In | 6/24/2006 6:05:09 PM | Yes | | |

Variance Of Less Than 4 Percent Of Expenditures Per Fund

District Status Detail Page 2 of 4

| | Type (Data Quality Measure)? | | |
|----|---|-------------------------|-----|
| 8 | Were Debt Related Expenditures (Net Of IFA And/Or EDA Allotment) < \$770.00 Per Student? (If The District's Five-Year Percent Change In Students = Or > 2%, Or If Property Taxes Collected Per Penny Of Tax Effort > \$100,000, Then Answer This Indicator Yes) | 6/24/2006 6:05:09 PM | Yes |
| 9 | Was There No Disclosure In The Annual Audit Report Of Material Noncompliance? | 6/24/2006 6:05:10 PM | Yes |
| 10 | Did The District Have Full Accreditation Status In Relation To Financial Management Practices? (e.g. No Master Or Monitor Assigned) | 6/24/2006 6:05:10 PM | Yes |
| 11 | Was The Percent Of Operating Expenditures Expended For Instruction More Than 54%? | 6/24/2006 6:05:10 PM | Yes |
| 12 | Was The Aggregate Of Budgeted Expenditures And Other Uses Less Than The Aggregate Of Total Revenues, Other Resources and Fund Balance In General Fund? | 6/24/2006 6:05:10 PM | Yes |
| 13 | If The District's Aggregate Fund Balance In The General Fund And Capital Projects Fund Was Less Than Zero, Were Construction Projects Adequately Financed? (To Avoid Creating Or Adding To The Fund Balance Deficit Situation) | 6/24/2006 6:05:11 PM | Yes |
| 14 | Was The Ratio Of Cash And Investments To Deferred Revenues (Excluding Amount Equal To Net Delinquent Taxes Receivables) In The General Fund = Or > 1:1? (If Deferred Revenues < Net Delinquent Taxes Receivable, Then Answer This Indicator Yes) | 6/24/2006 6:05:11 PM | Yes |
| 15 | Was The Administrative Cost Ratio Less Than The Standard In State Law? 6/24/20 | | Yes |
| 16 | Was The Ratio Of Students To Teachers Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size? 6/24/200 6:05:11 | | Yes |
| 17 | Was The Ratio Of Students To Total Staff Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size? | 6/24/2006 6:05:12 PM | Yes |
| 18 | Was The Total Fund Balance In The General Fund More | 6/24/2006 | Yes |

District Status Detail Page 3 of 4

| | Than 50% And Less Than 150% Of Optimum According To The Fund Balance And Cash Flow Calculation Worksheet In The Annual Financial Report? | 6:05:12 PM | |
|----|---|-------------------------|-----|
| 19 | Was The Decrease In Undesignated Unreserved Fund Balance < 20% Over Two Fiscal Years?(If 1.5 Times Optimum Fund Balance < Total Fund Balance In General Fund Or If Total Revenues > Operating Expenditures In The General Fund, Then Answer This Indicator Yes) | 6/24/2006 6:05:12 PM | Yes |
| 20 | Was The Aggregate Total Of Cash And Investments In The General Fund More Than \$0? | 6/24/2006 6:05:12 PM | Yes |
| 21 | Were Investment Earnings In All Funds More Than \$15 Per Student? | 6/24/2006 6:05:13 PM | Yes |

DETERMINATION OF RATING

| A. | Did The District Answer 'No' To Indicators 1, 2, Or 3? OR Did The District Answ 'No' To Both 4 and 5? If So, The District's Rating Is Substandard Achievement | | | | | |
|----|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| в. | Determine Rating By Applicable Range For The Number Of Indicators Answered 'No': | | | | | |
| | Superior Achievement | 0-2 | | | | |
| | Above Standard Achievement | 3-4 | | | | |
| | Standard Achievement | 5-6 | | | | |
| | Substandard Achievement | 7+ OR 'No' To Critical Indicator(s) | | | | |

INDICATOR 16 & 17 RATIOS

| Indicator 16 | Ranges for Ratios | | Indicator 17 | Ranges for Ratios | |
|--|----------------------|------|--|----------------------|------|
| District Size - Number of Students Between | Low | High | District Size - Number of Students Between | Low | High |
| < 500 | 7 | 22 | < 500 | 4 | 14 |
| 500-999 | 10 | 22 | 500-999 | 5.5 | 14 |

District Status Detail Page 4 of 4

| 1000-4999 | 11.5 | 22 | 1000-4999 | 6 | 14 |
|-----------|------|----|-----------|-----|----|
| 5000-9999 | 13 | 22 | 5000-9999 | 6.5 | 14 |
| => 10000 | 13.5 | 22 | => 10000 | 6.6 | 14 |

Audit Home Page: School Financial Audits | Send comments or suggestions to schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734